Skin Cancer Classification Application Using Machine Learning
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1. Introduction
Humans are becoming more vulnerable as the decades pass by. More and more diseases are affecting and the concern is that the mortality rate due to cancer is increasing. Melanoma is a type of skin cancer that affects the surface of the skin. This type of cancer might be caused due to high exposure to UV[1]. Taking global warming into consideration the danger bar is raised high. These are other factors such as increasing high-temperature climatic conditions and many more. The most common types of skin cancer include melanoma, basal, and squamous cell carcinoma. [21]. Even though it is visible to our naked eye unlike other cancers we don’t care about that too much is some cases. There a lot of cases where the patients don’t even realize that they have this medical conduction. Moreover, some take it lightly as some kind of allergy and don’t treat it properly. By doing this they bring the danger to their doorsteps. The dataset used is takeup from the ISIC
The most common type of cancer is Basal cell carcinoma which is not deadly as melanoma. These are a total of seven types that are classified by the model. The squamous cell carcinoma is another type that accounts for about 20% of skin cancer and also not as deadly as melanoma. Early identification of these has a high rate of recovery. Fig. 1 shows the sample image that is used to train the model.

![Cancer affected skin image](image-url)

**Fig. 1** Cancer affected skin image

### 2. Existing System

From a dermatologist perspective, the suspicious skin has to be visually examined, and then if it requires more study the image is captured in a high-resolution camera that reviles hidden details of the layers of the skin. The detection is directly based on the experience of the physician which has not standard accuracy. This can be automated with the help of state-of-art algorithms, it has been proven that these kinds of classifications are done with great accuracy. The best accuracy of the k-nearest neighbors (KNN) algorithm is found to be 79% and with that as a baseline. If we see CNN models that can easily outperform those models in terms of accuracy. The features are extracted from the images manually and support vector machine (SVM) learning algorithm is used for classification and with an accuracy of 93.1%. These systems use manual or with some automated feature selection process to train and classify the types of cancer.

### 3. Proposed System

#### 3.1 Methodology

The region of the skin is masked with auto threshold segmentation and it can also be done by manually setting up the pixel value. The color frequency can also be used to do the same kind of cancer region segmentation. The region of the cancer is masked to give a clear view of the pixel where the cancer is present. The input image consisted of three color values. By tuning it to the desired value the masks can be created even accurately. Since it provides better visualization of the region rather than doing an auto segmentation. The masked region of cancer is shown in Fig.2.
One of the main focus of the paper is to make it easily accessible to the physicians for supporting them. Fig.3 shows a visual representation of the web application and how it works. So the web application consists of a single framework that responds to the physician’s request. The model is first created and then the model is used in the backend to classify the class. When the request comes in the image is taken back to the model and the prediction is made and the result is displayed in the web application. In this way, the physician will be assisted in the diagnosis of skin cancer.

This web application must be hosted in a cloud server so that it is accessible to all. If suppose the dermatologist feels that the model is misclassifying a certain image wrongly. Then the model can be re-trained on those particular sets of images to make it more accurate. The hardest part is getting diverse images for all types of skin cancers. If you can enable the model with those images the model will eventually be more accurate on the real-time images.

When the application is hosted the home page will have an upload button where the image has to be uploaded and after that, a preview of the image will be shown to verify the uploaded image. On prediction, the image is taken into the flask framework where the class of the image is predicted and the name of the class is returned to the user interface.

3.2 CNN Architecture Design

Fig. 4 shows the custom model that is been built by using various layers of deep learning so that the model exhibits high accuracy. The size of the input image is 224x224 in the RGB(Red,Blue,Green) format. MobileNet V2 is used in the front portion of the model to increase performance[16]. The output of that model is again passed into several other layers to get the most out of the model. They consist of convolutional layers with batch normalization and the ReLu activation function is used. The same is stacked up multiple time and finally, the output layer is a neural network with seven output nodes with the flatten layer as the previous layer. [19]
The dropout is added to ensure that there is no overfitting in any stage of training the model. Each of the layers contains various layers of convolution, Activation, and max-pooling. CNN architecture has been a powerful asset in image processing and detection tasks[25]. They have been dominating the classification field in terms of accuracy in the prediction of images. The last neural network in the one that does the actual prediction work. There are two categories in which the model is trained. The first one is trained using the weights from the ImageNet and the other one is trained end-to-end from scratch.

4. Results

4.1 Plots

Fig.5 shows the training and validation accuracy of the custom model during each epoch. The spikes are up since most weights are already in the right place. The weights from the ImageNet is really helping the model to train rapidly on the new image data. The resultant accuracy is somewhere around 95%. The accuracy is somewhat stable at the end of the training.

Fig. 5 Training Plot of the custom model

Fig.6 shows the loss of the custom model during each epoch. We can observe the same kind of downward spikes in the loss that is calculated. The loss is being stabilized in the last part of the training and that shows that the model is trained for the maximum accuracy.
Fig. 6 Loss Plot of the custom model

Fig. 7 shows the training plot of the end-to-end trained model during each epoch. The model is slowly trained and dips at a point and starts training. The model is taking a lot of time to train and fit the images.

Fig. 7 Training Plot of the end-to-end trained model

Fig. 8 shows the loss plot of the end-to-end trained model during each epoch. Initially, the loss is very high and it converges really slowly.

Fig. 8 Loss Plot of the end-to-end trained model
4.2 Confusion Matrix

The easiest way to check whether the model performance good is by using confusion matrix. The confusion matrix gives an overall summary of the predictions that are made. The tabulated format can be easily interpreted. It shows both the positive and negative errors in a single table format.

Fig. 9 shows the confusion matrix that is plotted at the end of the training. A total of 939 images are classified and the performance metrics are analyzed. We observe that the Melanocytic nevi which have a large number of images are classified most accurately. The confusion matrix is for the end-to-end trained model.

![Confusion Matrix](image)

**Fig. 9** Confusion Matrix of the model used

4.3 Web Application Output

The home page of the application is shown in Fig. 10. Once you upload the image a preview will be shown.

![Home Page Application](image)

**Fig. 10** Home Page Application

The preview of the uploaded image is shown as a confirmation and you can make the predictions from there as shown in Fig. 11.
Now the image is taken into the flask framework where the prediction is made and the result is shown on the same page as shown in Fig. 12.
5. Conclusion

The entire framework is deployed in a local server which needs to be hosted on a cloud platform to make it accessible for wider adoption and usage. The trained custom model achieves an accuracy of around 95%. The custom model with the transfer learning is more accurate than the model that is trained end-to-end from scratch. The model works fine with most of the images due to the fact that the dataset is very complex to train due to the similarity in the types. The types are too similar in nature so that the model is still struggling a little on that. Collecting more dataset images on those types will be handy when it comes to classifying such kind. The wide adoption of this web application will benefit and make the model even more accurate. The same web application can be altered in such a way that it fits other classification applications as well. This is made possible since the model is constructed in a generic way to fit medical images. Periodic updates and new tech components can be added as per the needs. Further work can be on the database management for the physicians and getting their details for collaborative work. It will be really helpful in case of a large outbreak.
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